

Non-Abelian Chern-Simons Quantum Mechanics
and
Non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm Effect

Taejin Lee*

Department of Physics, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 200-701, KOREA

Phillial Oh**

Department of Physics, Sung Kyun Kwan University, Suwon 440-746, KOREA

We construct a classical action for a system of N point-like sources which carry SU(2) non-Abelian charges coupled to non-Abelian Chern-Simons gauge fields, and develop a quantum mechanics for them. Adopting the coherent state quantization and solving the Gauss' constraint in an appropriately chosen gauge, we obtain a quantum mechanical Hamiltonian given in terms of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection. Then we study the non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm effect, employing the obtained Hamiltonian for two-particle sector. An explicit evaluation of the differential cross section for the non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm scattering is given.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since Aharonov and Bohm discussed in their celebrated paper [1] the significance of the phase that arises from the charge-flux interaction, now known as the Aharonov-Bohm effect, it has been one of the most important subjects in both experimental and theoretical physics [2]. The subject spreaded diverse branches and recently has developed into the anyon physics [3] which has wide range of applications, including the fractional quantum Hall effect [4] and the high- T_c super-conductivity [5].

In this paper, we discuss in some detail the latest development in this direction, that is, the non-Abelian Chern-Simons quantum mechanics [6,7] and the non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm effect [8,9]. Recently we proposed a classical action for a system of the non-Abelian Chern-Simons (NACS) particles and developed a quantum mechanical description for them. The NACS particles are point-like sources which carry non-Abelian charges and interact with each other through the non-Abelian Chern-Simons term [10]. Their interaction is essentially the non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm effect, just as the interaction between anyons is the Aharonov-Bohm effect.

The non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm effect, however, is not a newly raised issue: It was considered long ago by Wu and Yang [11] and its details were studied in a test-particle framework by Horváthy [12]. Wu and Yang proposed a gedanken experiment to test the existence of the $SU(2)$ isotopic spin gauge field: They consider a scattering of the beam of protons, neutrons or their mixture around a cylinder where an isotopic magnetic flux is confined. A rotating cylinder made of heavy elements with a neutron excess was suggested as a source of the non-Abelian magnetic flux. The existence of the isotopic $SU(2)$ gauge field would be manifested through the non-integrable phase factor, upon which the outcome of experiment depends.

Recently it has been in the limelight again as it becomes clear that the NACS particle and the non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm effect might be realized in various physical phenomena such as the fractional quantum Hall effect, cosmic strings [13], and the gravitational

scattering in (2+1) dimensions [14].

The NACS particles are in a sense non-Abelian generalization of anyons. Their physical properties are similar to those of anyons, but can be certainly distinguished from. While anyons acquire fractional spins and satisfy exotic statistics, the NACS particles acquire fractional but rational spins and exhibit yet more generalized braid (or non-Abelian) statistics [15]. In this respect, it is quite interesting to apply the NACS particles to those physical phenomena, in some generalized context, where anyons play important roles, and to scrutinize the outcome. Such attempts have been made in refs. [16–18] where the possibility for the NACS particles to be realized in the fractional quantum Hall effect, the paradigm of anyons, is discussed.

The NACS particles may manifest their existence also in somewhat different circumstances. Such examples include the vortices in (2+1) dimensions and the cosmic strings in (3+1) dimensions which are formed when some gauge group G is broken down via Higgs mechanism to some discrete non-Abelian subgroup H [19]. Since interactions between these objects are characterized by the holonomies, equivalents of Wu and Yang’s non-integrable phase factors, associated with the windings around themselves, their interactions are effectively non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm effect. The point-like sources which gravitationally interact with each other in (2+1) dimensions also belong to the examples. Since the (2+1) dimensional gravity is equivalent to the non-Abelian Chern-Simons theory of group $ISO(2, 1)$ [20], they are NACS particles with the internal symmetry group of $ISO(2, 1)$. Accordingly the (2+1) dimensional gravity can be also described in the framework of the non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm effect [14].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we discuss how to construct a classical action describing non-Abelian Chern-Simons particles and analyze its constraint algebra. In Sec. III we apply the coherent state quantization to the Chern-Simons gauge fields and introduce a gauge fixing condition, called holomorphic gauge, where the Gauss’ constraints are explicitly solved. Then in Sec. IV we obtain a quantum mechanical description of the NACS particles and show that they obey braid statistics. Sec. V is devoted to

the two body problem in non-Abelian Chern-Simons quantum mechanics. In Sec. VI we evaluate the differential cross section of the non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm scattering. In Sec. VII we conclude the paper with a brief summary and a discussion on applications of the non-Abelian Chern-Simons quantum mechanics.

II. NON-ABELIAN CHERN-SIMONS PARTICLES

A classical description of point-like sources with non-Abelian (isospin) charges can be given in terms of their spatial coordinates and the isospin vectors which transform under the adjoint representation of the internal symmetry group. Endowing them with the corresponding non-Abelian magnetic fluxes to make them the NACS particles is done by introducing the non-Abelian Chern-Simons term and minimally coupling their isospin charges with the Chern-Simons gauge fields. To be specific and to avoid unnecessary burden, we take the internal symmetry group to be $SU(2)$ throughout the paper. (For discussions on the NACS particles with $SU(N)$, $N \geq 2$ isospin charges, consult ref. [7].)

Although there are several equivalent ways [21–23] to define the isospin degrees of freedom, we find it convenient to define the isospin vectors directly on the reduced phase space S^2 for the $SU(2)$ internal symmetry group as follows [24]

$$Q_\alpha^1 = J_\alpha \sin \theta_\alpha \cos \phi_\alpha, \quad Q_\alpha^2 = J_\alpha \sin \theta_\alpha \sin \phi_\alpha, \quad Q_\alpha^3 = J_\alpha \cos \theta_\alpha \quad (1)$$

where $\theta_\alpha, \phi_\alpha$ are the coordinates of the internal S^2 and J_α is a constant. Denoting the spatial coordinates of the particles by $\mathbf{q}_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we can construct the classical Lagrangean as

$$\begin{aligned} L = \sum_\alpha \left(-\frac{1}{2} m_\alpha \dot{\mathbf{q}}_\alpha^2 + J_\alpha \cos \theta_\alpha \dot{\phi}_\alpha \right) - \kappa \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{tr} \left(A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\lambda + \frac{2}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda \right) \\ + \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \sum_\alpha \left(A_i^a(t, \mathbf{x}) \dot{q}_\alpha^i + A_0^a(t, \mathbf{x}) \right) Q_\alpha^a \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}_\alpha) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here $4\pi\kappa = \text{integer}$, $A_\mu = A_\mu^a T^a$, $[T^a, T^b] = \epsilon^{abc} T^c$, $\text{tr}(T^a T^b) = -1/2 \delta^{ab}$, and the space-time signature is $(+, -, -)$.

With the defining equations for the isovectors Eq.(1), we obtain the following Euler-Lagrangean equations

$$m_\alpha \ddot{q}_{\alpha i} = -(F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{q}_\alpha) \dot{q}_\alpha^j + F_{i0}^a(\mathbf{q}_\alpha)) Q_\alpha^a, \quad (3)$$

$$\dot{Q}_\alpha^a = -\epsilon^{abc} (A_i^b(\mathbf{q}_\alpha) \dot{q}_\alpha^i + A_0^b(\mathbf{q}_\alpha)) Q_\alpha^c, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon^{ij} F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{x}) = - \sum_\alpha Q_\alpha^a \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}_\alpha), \quad (5)$$

$$\kappa \epsilon^{ij} F_{j0}^a(\mathbf{x}) = - \sum_\alpha Q_\alpha^a \dot{q}_\alpha^i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}_\alpha) \quad (6)$$

where $F_{ij}^a = \partial_i A_j^a - \partial_j A_i^a + \epsilon^{abc} A_i^b A_j^c$. The first two equations are Wong's equations [25] and the third one corresponds to the Gauss' law constraint which tells us that the NACS particle of isospin charge Q_α^a carries the magnetic flux $-Q_\alpha^a/\kappa$;

$$\Phi_m = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\alpha} \epsilon^{ij} F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{x}) d^2x = -\frac{1}{\kappa} Q_\alpha^a \quad (7)$$

where B_α denotes a small patch covering the position of the α -th NACS particle.

Since the part of Lagrangean for the isospin degrees of freedom is usually of first order, second class constraints arise in the procedure of canonical quantization. These second class constraints are entangled with the first class constraints which generate gauge symmetries and it makes the constraint analysis difficult [21,22]. One of the advantages we have, to define the isovectors as in Eq.(1) is that it is easy to avoid the second class constraints from the outset by judiciously defining the Poisson bracket [26,27]. Introducing the canonical momenta p_α^i ,

$$p_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i\alpha}} = m_\alpha \dot{q}_\alpha^i + A^{ai}(\mathbf{q}_\alpha) Q_\alpha^a \quad (8)$$

we can convert the given Lagrangean Eq.(2) to a first order Lagrangean

$$L = \sum_\alpha \left(p_\alpha^i \dot{q}_{i\alpha} + J_\alpha \cos \theta_\alpha \dot{\phi}_\alpha \right) + \int d^2\mathbf{x} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \dot{A}_i^a \dot{A}_j^a \right) - H,$$

$$H = H_0 - \int d^2\mathbf{x} \left[A_0^a \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon^{ij} F_{ij}^a + \sum_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}^a \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}_{\alpha}) \right) \right]$$

$$H_0 = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2m_{\alpha}} \left(p_{\alpha}^i - A^{ai}(\mathbf{q}_{\alpha}) Q_{\alpha}^a \right)^2. \quad (9)$$

This first order Lagrangean can be rewritten as [27]

$$L = a_I(\xi) \dot{\xi}^I - H(\xi) \quad (10)$$

and the Euler-Lagrangean equations from Eq.(10) as

$$f_{IJ}(\xi) \dot{\xi}^J = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^I} H(\xi),$$

$$f_{IJ}(\xi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^I} a_J(\xi) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^J} a_I(\xi)$$

where ξ^I denote collectively the canonical variables, p_{α}^i , q_{α}^i , θ_{α} , ϕ_{α} , $A_i^a(\mathbf{x})$. $f_{IJ}(\xi)$ defines the pre-symplectic two form f by

$$f = \frac{1}{2} f_{IJ}(\xi) d\xi^I d\xi^J = da(\xi) \quad (11)$$

where $a(\xi)$ is the canonical one form, $a(\xi) = a_I(\xi) d\xi^I$. For the given first order Lagrangean Eq.(9), we find

$$f = dp^i dq^i - J \sin \theta d\theta d\phi - \int d^2\mathbf{x} \frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \delta A_i^a(\mathbf{x}) \delta A_j^a(\mathbf{x}) \quad (12)$$

where we suppress the indices labeling the particles. When the matrix (f_{IJ}) is non-singular, i.e., has its inverse (f^{IJ}) as in Eq.(12), the Poisson bracket is taken as

$$\{F(\xi), G(\xi)\} = f^{IJ}(\xi) \frac{\partial F(\xi)}{\partial \xi^I} \frac{\partial G(\xi)}{\partial \xi^J} \quad (13)$$

and the Euler-Lagrangean equations can be expressed as

$$\dot{\xi}^I = \{\xi^I, H\} = f^{IJ} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^J} H. \quad (14)$$

From Eqs.(12) and (13), we can define the Poisson bracket as

$$\{F, G\} = \sum_{\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{\alpha}^i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_{\alpha i}} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{\alpha i}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_{\alpha}^i} \right) - \frac{1}{J_{\alpha} \sin \theta_{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \phi_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \theta_{\alpha}} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta_{\alpha}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \phi_{\alpha}} \right) \right] \\ + \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} \epsilon_{ij} \frac{\delta F}{\delta A_i^a(\mathbf{x})} \frac{\delta G}{\delta A_j^a(\mathbf{x})} \right) \quad (15)$$

and the fundamental commutators as

$$\{q_{\alpha}^i, p_{\beta j}\} = \delta_j^i \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \{Q_{\alpha}^a, Q_{\beta}^b\} = \epsilon^{abc} Q_{\alpha}^c \delta_{\alpha\beta} \\ \{A_i^a(\mathbf{x}), A_j^b(\mathbf{y})\} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \epsilon_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \delta^{ab}. \quad (16)$$

As expected, the Gauss' law constraints

$$\Phi^a = \frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon^{ij} F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}^a \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{q}_{\alpha}) = 0 \quad (17)$$

form $SU(2)$ algebra and no further constraints arise

$$\{\Phi^a(\mathbf{x}), \Phi^b(\mathbf{y})\} = \epsilon^{abc} \Phi^c \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad \{H_0, \Phi^a(\mathbf{x})\} = 0. \quad (18)$$

In contrast to the approach of Balachandran *et al.* [21,22], the second class constraints never appear in ours.

If one can solve the Gauss' constraints explicitly, one can describe the dynamics of the NACS particles solely by the quantum mechanical Hamiltonian H_0 in Eq.(9) with $A_i^a(\mathbf{q}_{\alpha})$ which are determined through the Gauss' constraints. Thus it is desirable to solve the Gauss' constraints explicitly, if possible. We may attempt to solve the constraints in Coulomb or covariant gauges, but find it difficult. However, as we shall see, there are two gauge conditions where the constraints have explicit solutions. The first one is the axial gauge condition. Considering the nature of (2+1) dimensions, one can easily understand how the axial gauge works. Choosing an axial gauge condition, say, $A_1^a = 0$, we get a solution for the Gauss' constraint [28]

$$A_1^a(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad A_2^a(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}^a \theta(x - x_{\alpha}) \delta(y - y_{\alpha}) + f(y) \quad (19)$$

where $f(y)$ is an arbitrary function of y . Unfortunately, it is rather awkward to describe the dynamics of the NACS particles with the axial gauge solution because of the strings attached on them. (Analyzing the the well-known anyon quantum mechanics in the axial gauge [29] may give us an idea. But we will not pursue it here.) The alternative is the holomorphic gauge condition which we shall discuss in the following section.

III. COHERENT STATE QUANTIZATION AND HOLOMORPHIC GAUGE

If one adopts the coherent state quantization [30] for the gauge fields, one can choose a better gauge condition. We recall that A_1^a and A_2^a are canonical conjugates to each other, i.e., $\{A_1^a(\mathbf{x}), A_2^b(\mathbf{y})\} = \kappa \delta^{ab} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$, or upon quantization $[\hat{A}_1^a(\mathbf{x}), \hat{A}_2^b(\mathbf{y})] = i\kappa \delta^{ab} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$. This suggests for us to define ‘creation’ and ‘annihilation’ operators

$$\mathcal{A}^{a\dagger} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\kappa}} (\hat{A}_1^a - i\hat{A}_2^a), \quad \mathcal{A}^a = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\kappa}} (\hat{A}_1^a + i\hat{A}_2^a), \quad (20)$$

$$[\mathcal{A}^a(\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{A}^{b\dagger}(\mathbf{y})] = \delta^{ab} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$$

and to construct coherent states

$$|A_{\bar{z}}\rangle \equiv \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \int d^2\mathbf{x} A_{\bar{z}}^a \mathcal{A}^{a\dagger}\right) |0\rangle \quad (21)$$

and their adjoints

$$\langle A_z| \equiv \langle 0| \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \int d^2\mathbf{x} A_z^a \mathcal{A}^a\right). \quad (22)$$

Then we have

$$\langle A_z|A_{\bar{z}}\rangle = \exp\left(\frac{\kappa}{2} \int d^2\mathbf{x} A_z^a A_{\bar{z}}^a\right) \quad (23)$$

and the resolution of identity

$$\int DA_z DA_{\bar{z}} \exp\left(-\frac{\kappa}{2} \int d^2\mathbf{x} A_z^a A_{\bar{z}}^a\right) |A_{\bar{z}}\rangle \langle A_z| = I. \quad (24)$$

Partitioning the time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ into many pieces and repeatedly using the resolution of identity Eq.(24), we obtain a functional integral representation for the transition amplitude (in the sector of gauge fields)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_z^f, t_f | A_{\bar{z}}^i, t_i \rangle = & \int DA_z DA_{\bar{z}} \exp \left\{ \frac{\kappa}{2} \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \left(A_z^f A_{\bar{z}}^f + A_z^i A_{\bar{z}}^i \right) + \right. \\ & \left. i \int d^2 \mathbf{x} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[\frac{i\kappa}{2} \left(A_z^a \dot{A}_{\bar{z}}^a - \dot{A}_z^a A_{\bar{z}}^a \right) - H(A_z, A_{\bar{z}}) \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $H(A_z, A_{\bar{z}})$ is obtained by substituting $\mathcal{A}^{a\dagger} \rightarrow A_z^a$, $\mathcal{A}^a \rightarrow A_{\bar{z}}^a$ in the given Hamiltonian $H(\mathcal{A}^{a\dagger}, \mathcal{A}^a)$. It is worthwhile to note that A_z^a and $A_{\bar{z}}^a$ must be treated as independent variables [31].

In order to get the path integral representation of the physical transition amplitude Z , now we include the particle sector

$$\begin{aligned} Z = & \int Dp^z Dq^{\bar{z}} Dp^{\bar{z}} Dq^z D \cos \theta D\phi DA_z DA_{\bar{z}} DA_0 \\ & \exp \left\{ -\kappa i \int d^2 z \left(A_{\bar{z}}^f A_z^f + A_{\bar{z}}^i A_z^i \right) \right\} \exp \left\{ i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt L \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$L = \sum_{\alpha} \left(p_{\alpha}^{\bar{z}} \dot{z}_{\alpha} + p_{\alpha}^z \dot{\bar{z}}_{\alpha} + J_{\alpha} \cos \theta_{\alpha} \dot{\phi}_{\alpha} \right) + \int d^2 z \left(\frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\dot{A}_z^a A_{\bar{z}}^a - \dot{A}_{\bar{z}}^a A_z^a \right) + A_0^a \Phi^a \right) - H,$$

$$H = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{2}{m_{\alpha}} \left(p_{\alpha}^{\bar{z}} - A_z^a(z_{\alpha}, \bar{z}_{\alpha}) Q_{\alpha}^a \right) \left(p_{\alpha}^z - A_{\bar{z}}^a(z_{\alpha}, \bar{z}_{\alpha}) Q_{\alpha}^a \right)$$

where $\Phi^a(z) = \kappa F_{z\bar{z}}^a + \sum_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}^a \delta(z - z_{\alpha}) = 0$. For the sake of convenience, we employed in Eq.(26) complex coordinates where

$$z = x + iy, \quad \bar{z} = x - iy,$$

$$z_{\alpha} = q_{\alpha}^1 + iq_{\alpha}^2, \quad \bar{z}_{\alpha} = q_{\alpha}^1 - iq_{\alpha}^2.$$

Adopting the coherent state quantization for the Chern-Simons gauge fields [32,33] effectively extends the gauge orbit space in that A_z^a and $A_{\bar{z}}^a$ are treated as independent variables [31].

It implies concurrently that there are wider class of gauge fixing conditions available in the frame-work of the coherent state quantization: We can choose, $A_{\bar{z}}^a = 0$, as a gauge fixing condition. This gauge fixing condition may be called holomorphic gauge condition. In this gauge, the Gauss' constraint reduces to

$$\Phi^a(z) = -\kappa \partial_{\bar{z}} A_z^a + \sum_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}^a \delta(z - z_{\alpha}) = 0 \quad (27)$$

and has an explicit solution

$$A_{\bar{z}}^a(z, \bar{z}) = 0, \quad A_z^a(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{i}{2\pi\kappa} \sum_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha}^a \frac{1}{z - z_{\alpha}} \quad (28)$$

which is less singular than that in the axial gauge Eq.(19). As we shall see, it is amusing to observe that the dynamics of the NACS particles lies entirely in the holomorphic sector of z in the holomorphic gauge.

Reaching this point, one may realize that the holomorphic gauge may not be connected to the more conventional gauges such as Coulomb and axial gauges by canonical gauge transformations and may worry about the equivalence of the path integral, representing physical amplitude in the holomorphic gauge to those in the conventional ones. This worry can be taken care of by the BRST formulation [34] as discussed in ref. [6]: The equivalence of the path integral in the holomorphic gauge to those in conventional gauges follows from the Fradkin and Vilkovisky theorem [34,35]. In the frame-work of the coherent state quantization, the holomorphic gauge condition is a legitimate gauge fixing condition.

Employing the coherent state quantization for the gauge fields, we write the path integral representing the physical transition amplitude [36] as

$$\begin{aligned} Z = & \int Dp^z Dq^{\bar{z}} Dp^{\bar{z}} Dq^z D \cos \theta D\phi D A_z D A_{\bar{z}} \delta(\Phi) \delta(\chi) \det\{\chi, \Phi\} \\ & \exp \left\{ -\kappa i \int d^2 z \left(A_{\bar{z}}^f A_z^f + A_{\bar{z}}^i A_z^i \right) \right\} \exp \left\{ i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt (K - H) \right\}, \quad (29) \\ K = & \sum_{\alpha} \left(p_{\alpha}^{\bar{z}} \dot{z}_{\alpha} + p_{\alpha}^z \dot{\bar{z}}_{\alpha} + J_{\alpha} \cos \theta_{\alpha} \dot{\phi}_{\alpha} \right) + \int d^2 z \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\dot{A}_z^a A_{\bar{z}}^a - \dot{A}_{\bar{z}}^a A_z^a \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi = 0$ is the gauge condition. In the holomorphic gauge $\chi = A_{\bar{z}}^a$ and $\det\{\chi, \Phi\} = \det \partial_{\bar{z}}$. Unless we consider a nontrivial topology for the two dimensional space, $\det \partial_{\bar{z}}$ is not singular. Since it is independent of dynamical variables, it can be dropped from the path integral. Therefore we find that the path integral is now reduced to

$$Z = \int Dp^z Dp^{\bar{z}} Dq^z Dq^{\bar{z}} D \cos \theta D\phi D A_z D A_{\bar{z}} \delta(A_{\bar{z}}^a) \delta(\Phi^a) \exp\{i \int dt (K - H)\}. \quad (30)$$

IV. CHERN-SIMONS QUANTUM MECHANICS IN HOLOMORPHIC GAUGE

The quantum mechanical description of the NACS particles is obtained if we integrate out the field variables. By use of the given solution in the holomorphic gauge Eq.(28), we get the path integral expressed only in terms of the quantum mechanical variables

$$Z = \int Dp^z Dp^{\bar{z}} Dq^z Dq^{\bar{z}} D \cos \theta D\phi \exp\{i \int dt (K - H)\}, \quad (31)$$

$$K = \sum_{\alpha} \left(p_{\alpha}^{\bar{z}} \dot{z}_{\alpha} + p_{\alpha}^z \dot{\bar{z}}_{\alpha} + J_{\alpha} \cos \theta_{\alpha} \dot{\phi}_{\alpha} \right),$$

$$H = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{2}{m_{\alpha}} p_{\alpha}^z (p_{\alpha}^{\bar{z}} - A_z^a(z_{\alpha}, \bar{z}_{\alpha}) Q_{\alpha}^a)$$

where $A_z^a(z, \bar{z})$ denotes the holomorphic gauge solution Eq.(28).

The operator formulation of the Chern-Simons quantum mechanics follows from the observation that the path integral Eq.(31) is equivalently expressed as

$$Z = \langle \eta_f | \exp\{-i \hat{H}(t_f - t_i)\} | \eta_i \rangle, \quad (32)$$

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{2}{m_{\alpha}} \hat{p}_{\alpha}^z \left(\hat{p}_{\alpha}^{\bar{z}} - \hat{A}_z^a(z_{\alpha}) \hat{Q}_{\alpha}^a \right).$$

The variables with ‘ $\hat{}$ ’ denote quantum operators of which commutators are given by

$$[\bar{z}_{\alpha}, \hat{p}_{\alpha}^z] = i, \quad [z_{\alpha}, \hat{p}_{\alpha}^{\bar{z}}] = i, \quad [\hat{Q}_{\alpha}^a, \hat{Q}_{\beta}^b] = i \epsilon^{abc} \hat{Q}_{\alpha}^c \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

The gauge field $\hat{A}_z^a$ here stands for the operator version of the solution Eq.(28). Since the iso-vector operators $\hat{Q}^a$'s satisfy the $SU(2)$ algebra, they can be represented by $SU(2)$ some generators, say, T_j^a in a representation of isospin j . For example, when $j = 1/2$, $\hat{Q}^a$'s are represented by the Pauli matrices $\tau^a/2$ and the state vector $|\eta\rangle$ by an iso-spin doublet. We suppressed the isospin indices in Eq.(32). In passing, note that $\hat{Q}_\alpha^2|\eta\rangle = J_\alpha^2|\eta\rangle = j_\alpha(j_\alpha + 1)|\eta\rangle$, $j_\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_{n+1/2}$.

We now conclude that the dynamics of the NACS particles are determined by the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$

$$\hat{H} = - \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{m_{\alpha}} (\nabla_{\bar{z}_{\alpha}} \nabla_{z_{\alpha}} + \nabla_{z_{\alpha}} \nabla_{\bar{z}_{\alpha}})$$

$$\nabla_{z_{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa} \left(\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \hat{Q}_{\alpha}^a \hat{Q}_{\beta}^a \frac{1}{z_{\alpha} - z_{\beta}} + \hat{Q}_{\alpha}^2 a_z(z_{\alpha}) \right) \quad (33)$$

$$\nabla_{\bar{z}_{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_{\alpha}}$$

where $a_z(z_{\alpha}) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_{\alpha}} 1/(z - z_{\alpha})$ and it needs an appropriate regularization. The second term and the third term in $\nabla_{z_{\alpha}}$ describe mutual and self interactions of NACS particles respectively. The Hamiltonian Eq.(33) without the self interaction terms has been conjectured and applied to the non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm effect by Verlinde [9].

The mutual interaction terms in $\hat{H}$ are responsible for the non-Abelian statistics. This becomes clear as we remove the interaction terms by some singular non-unitary transformation, *i.e.* casting the wave function Ψ_h for the NACS particles in the holomorphic gauge into the following form

$$\Psi_h(z_1, \dots, z_N) = U^{-1}(z_1, \dots, z_N) \Psi_a(z_1, \dots, z_N), \quad (34)$$

where $U^{-1}(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ satisfies the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equation [37]

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \hat{Q}_{\alpha}^a \hat{Q}_{\beta}^a \frac{1}{z_{\alpha} - z_{\beta}} \right) U^{-1}(z_1, \dots, z_N) = 0. \quad (35)$$

The Hamiltonian for Ψ_a is a free Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = -\sum_{\alpha} \frac{2}{m_{\alpha}} (\partial_{z_{\alpha}} \partial_{z_{\alpha}})$.

In Eq.(34) we suppress the effects of the self interaction for the following reason. The self interaction terms can be also removed in a similar way as the mutual interaction terms are removed. As a result, we may have a factor

$$\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi\kappa} \sum_{\alpha} \lim_{z \rightarrow z_{\alpha}} \int^z \frac{\hat{Q}_{\alpha}^2}{z' - z_{\alpha}} dz' \right) \quad (36)$$

in the r.h.s. of Eq.(34). This factor is not well defined until we specify how to take the limit $z \rightarrow z_{\alpha}$. Here we take the limit near z_{α} along $z = z_{\alpha} + t\epsilon_{\alpha}$ where ϵ_{α} is a complex number with $|\epsilon_{\alpha}| = 1$ and t is a real parameter to be taken 0 in the limit. Then the factor Eq.(36) is factorized into a divergent piece

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \prod_{\alpha} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi\kappa} \hat{Q}_{\alpha}^2 \ln t \right) \quad (37)$$

and a regular one

$$\prod_{\alpha} \exp \left(-\frac{i}{2\pi\kappa} \hat{Q}_{\alpha}^2 \arg \epsilon_{\alpha} \right). \quad (38)$$

Since the divergent piece Eq.(37) can be absorbed into a normalization constant of the wave function, the quantum mechanical Hamiltonian for the NACS particles does not contain any singularity. We may further absorb the constant regular factor Eq.(38) into the phase of the wave function. Therefore we may safely remove the self interaction terms in the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian Eq.(33) for the NACS particles as in the case for the anyons [38]. However, in the context of the relativistic quantum mechanics for the NACS particles, the regular factor Eq.(38) cannot be no longer ignored: Noting that the regularization procedure described above corresponds to the framing of the knots [39] which represent the world lines of the relativistic NACS particles, we may identify the anomalous spins of the NACS particles as $2j_{\alpha}(j_{\alpha} + 1)/4\pi\kappa$ from the additional phase which the wave function acquires due to the regular factor under 2π rotation.

The KZ equation has a formal solution which is expressed as a path ordered line integral in the N -dimensional complex space

$$U^{-1}(z_1, \dots, z_N) = P \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi\kappa} \int_{\Gamma} \sum_{\alpha} d\zeta^{\alpha} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \hat{Q}_{\alpha}^a \hat{Q}_{\beta}^a \frac{1}{\zeta_{\alpha} - \zeta_{\beta}} \right], \quad (39)$$

where Γ is a path in the N -dimensional complex space with one end point fixed (reference point) and the other being $\zeta_f = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$. Explicit evaluation of the above formal expression will give the monodromy matrices. We see that Ψ_a obeys the braid statistics, due to the transformation function $U(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ while Ψ_h satisfies ordinary statistics. In analogy with the Abelian Chern-Simons particle theory we may call Ψ_a the NACS particle wave function in the anyon gauge. The two descriptions for the NACS particle - one in the holomorphic gauge with Ψ_h and H in Eq.(33) and the other in the anyon gauge with Ψ_a and the free Hamiltonian - are equivalent and the transformation function between two gauges is given by $U(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ Eq.(39). It also defines the inner product in the holomorphic gauge

$$\langle \Psi_1 | \Psi_2 \rangle = \int d^2N \zeta \Psi_1(\zeta)^{\dagger} U^{\dagger}(\zeta) U(\zeta) \Psi_2(\zeta) \quad (40)$$

where $\zeta = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$. The Hamiltonian in the holomorphic gauge Eq.(33) is certainly Hermitian with this inner product

$$\nabla_{z_{\alpha}}^{\dagger} = -\nabla_{\bar{z}_{\alpha}}, \quad \nabla_{\bar{z}_{\alpha}}^{\dagger} = -\nabla_{z_{\alpha}}, \quad \hat{H}^{\dagger} = \hat{H}.$$

When $N = 2$, the KZ equation is particularly simple and the solution for the KZ equation can be easily obtained

$$U(z_1, z_2) = \exp \left[\hat{Q}_1^a \hat{Q}_2^a \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa} \ln(z_1 - z_2) \right]. \quad (41)$$

Therefore if we exchange the positions of two NACS particles along an oriented path as depicted by Fig. 1, the wave function in the anyon gauge transforms as

$$\Psi_a(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow \Psi_a(z_2, z_1) = \exp \left(\frac{\hat{Q}_1^a \hat{Q}_2^a}{2\kappa} i \right) \Psi_a(z_1, z_2). \quad (42)$$

The operator $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} = \exp \left(\frac{\hat{Q}_{\alpha}^a \hat{Q}_{\beta}^a}{2\kappa} i \right)$ is called the braid operator or half-monodromy which satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation and exhibits some character of the non-Abelian statistics.

If we wind one NACS particle around the other, *i.e.* doubly exchange, the transformation of the wave function of the system is given by the monodromy operator $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta} = (\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta})^2$,

$$\Psi_a(z_1, z_2) \rightarrow \exp\left(\frac{\hat{Q}_1^a \hat{Q}_2^a}{\kappa} i\right) \Psi_a(z_1, z_2).$$

V. TWO BODY PROBLEM IN CHERN-SIMONS QUANTUM MECHANICS

Being equipped with the non-Abelian Chern-Simons quantum mechanics discussed in the previous section, we now specifically consider a system of two NACS particles. Although two body system does not reveal all the novel features of the NACS particles, it certainly enables us to capture some essence of them. Since two body problem is presently the only one known to have explicit solutions, it is worth while to explore the two body system in some detail. This section also serves as a preparatory stage for the discussions on the non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm effect in the following section.

The system of two NACS particles is described by the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= - \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 \frac{1}{m_\alpha} (\nabla_{\bar{z}_\alpha} \nabla_{z_\alpha} + \nabla_{z_\alpha} \nabla_{\bar{z}_\alpha}) \\ \nabla_{z_1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \Omega \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2}, \quad \nabla_{\bar{z}_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, \\ \nabla_{z_2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \Omega \frac{1}{z_2 - z_1}, \quad \nabla_{\bar{z}_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Note that Ω is a block-diagonal matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \hat{Q}_1^a \hat{Q}_2^a / (2\pi\kappa) = \frac{1}{4\pi\kappa} \left((\hat{Q}_1 + \hat{Q}_2)^2 - (\hat{Q}_1)^2 - (\hat{Q}_2)^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_j \frac{1}{4\pi\kappa} (j(j+1) - j_1(j_1+1) - j_2(j_2+1)) \otimes I_j \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

and the half-monodromy is written as

$$\mathcal{R} = \exp(i\Omega\pi) \tag{45}$$

where $|j_1 - j_2| \leq j \leq j_1 + j_2$ and I_j is an identity matrix in the subspace of total isospin j which is spanned by $\{|m| \leq j; |j, m\rangle\}$. In Eq. (44), $j(j+1)$, $j_1(j_1+1)$, and $j_2(j_2+1)$ are eigenvalues of $(\hat{Q}_1 + \hat{Q}_2)^2$, $(\hat{Q}_1)^2$, and $(\hat{Q}_2)^2$ respectively.

Upon introducing the center of mass and relative coordinates $Z = (z_1 + z_2)/2$, $z = z_1 - z_2$, the two body Hamiltonian Eq.(43) becomes

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2\mu}\partial_Z\partial_{\bar{Z}} - \frac{1}{\mu}(\nabla_z\nabla_{\bar{z}} + \nabla_{\bar{z}}\nabla_z), \quad (46)$$

$$\nabla_z = \partial_z + \frac{\Omega}{z}, \quad \nabla_{\bar{z}} = \partial_{\bar{z}}$$

where we take the mass of NACS particles, $m_1 = m_2 = 2\mu$ for simplicity. As usual, the motion of center of mass coordinates is not dynamical and is decoupled from the motion of relative coordinate. If we write the wave function for the two body system as $\Psi(z, \bar{z}, Z, \bar{Z}, t) = \Psi_{\text{CM}}(Z, \bar{Z}, t)\psi(z, \bar{z}, t)$, the Schrödinger equation for the system becomes

$$-\frac{1}{2\mu}\partial_Z\partial_{\bar{Z}}\Psi_{\text{CM}}(Z, \bar{Z}, t) = i\frac{\partial\Psi_{\text{CM}}(Z, \bar{Z}, t)}{\partial t} \quad (47)$$

$$-\frac{1}{\mu}(\nabla_z\nabla_{\bar{z}} + \nabla_{\bar{z}}\nabla_z)\psi(z, \bar{z}, t) = i\frac{\partial\psi(z, \bar{z}, t)}{\partial t}. \quad (48)$$

Since the center-of-mass Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{CM}} = -\frac{1}{2\mu}\partial_Z\partial_{\bar{Z}}$ has a set of eigenstates $\{e^{i(\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{R} - (K^2/2\mu)t)}\}$, the two body problem now reduces to finding energy eigenfunctions $\psi_n(z, \bar{z})$ of the Hamiltonian for the relative motion $\hat{H}_{\text{rel}}$

$$\hat{H}_{\text{rel}} = -\frac{1}{\mu}(\nabla_z\nabla_{\bar{z}} + \nabla_{\bar{z}}\nabla_z), \quad (49)$$

$$\hat{H}_{\text{rel}}\psi_n(z, \bar{z}) = E_n\psi_n(z, \bar{z}).$$

If the energy eigenfunctions $\psi_n(z, \bar{z})$ are found, the energy eigenstates of the system of two NACS particles are written as

$$\{\Psi_{K,n}(z, \bar{z}, Z, \bar{Z}, t) = e^{-i(E_n + K^2/2\mu)t}e^{i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{R}}\psi_n(z, \bar{z})\}.$$

To obtain the energy eigenfunctions for $\hat{H}_{\text{rel}}$ we find it useful to take a similarity transformation given by

$$\hat{H}_{\text{rel}} \longrightarrow \hat{H}'_{\text{rel}} = G^{-1}\hat{H}_{\text{rel}}G, \quad (50)$$

$$\psi_n(z, \bar{z}) \longrightarrow \psi'_n(z, \bar{z}) = G^{-1}\psi_n(z, \bar{z}).$$

The following observations help us to solve the Schrödinger equation for the relative motion.

Firstly, the transformed Hamiltonian $\hat{H}'_{\text{rel}}$ has the same set of eigenvalues $\{E_n\}$ as $\hat{H}_{\text{rel}}$

$$\hat{H}'_{\text{rel}}\psi'_n(z, \bar{z}) = E_n\psi'_n(z, \bar{z}). \quad (51)$$

Secondly, if we choose the transformation function G to be

$$G(z, \bar{z}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\Omega}{2}\ln(z\bar{z})\right), \quad (52)$$

the inner product between the states becomes usual and transformed Hamiltonian $\hat{H}'_{\text{rel}}$ becomes manifestly Hermitian

$$\hat{H}'_{\text{rel}} = -\frac{1}{\mu}(\nabla'_z \nabla'_{\bar{z}} + \nabla'_{\bar{z}} \nabla'_z), \quad (53)$$

$$\nabla'_z = \partial_z + \frac{\Omega}{2}\frac{1}{z}, \quad \nabla'_{\bar{z}} = \partial_{\bar{z}} - \frac{\Omega}{2}\frac{1}{\bar{z}}.$$

The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}'_{\text{rel}}$ resembles the Hamiltonian for the relative motion of two anyon system in Coulomb gauge. Indeed it may be regarded as the Hamiltonian for the system of two NACS particles in Coulomb gauge in a sense. As we mentioned earlier, in general, the Gauss' constraint cannot be solved explicitly in Coulomb gauge in that the constraint is nonlinear in this gauge. However, this is not the case for the one particle sector. Suppose that one NACS particle is located at the origin. One can easily find that the Gauss' constraint Eq.(17) which is now simplified to be

$$\Phi^a = \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{ij}F_{ij}^a(\mathbf{x}) + Q^a\delta(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad (54)$$

has an explicit solution in the Coulomb gauge

$$A_i^a(\mathbf{x}) = \epsilon_{ij}\frac{Q^a}{2\pi\kappa}\frac{x^j}{r^2}, \quad \partial_i A_i^a(\mathbf{x}) = 0. \quad (55)$$

Therefore, if we neglect the self interaction, we can construct a Hamiltonian for the system of two NACS particles as follows

$$\hat{H}_C = \sum_{\alpha=1,2} \frac{1}{2m_\alpha} \left(p_\alpha^i - A^{ai}(\mathbf{q}_\alpha) Q_\alpha^a \right)^2, \quad (56)$$

$$A_i^a(\mathbf{q}_1) = \epsilon_{ij} \frac{Q_2^a}{2\pi\kappa} \frac{q_1^j - q_2^j}{(\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2)^2},$$

$$A_i^a(\mathbf{q}_2) = -\epsilon_{ij} \frac{Q_1^a}{2\pi\kappa} \frac{q_1^j - q_2^j}{(\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2)^2}.$$

Certainly, the Hamiltonian for the relativistic motion which follows from $\hat{H}_C$ coincides with $\hat{H}'_{\text{rel}}$. This shows that the description of the two-body system in the test particle frame-work is valid.

Rewriting the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}'_{\text{rel}}$ in the polar coordinates and projecting it onto the subspace of total isospin j , we have

$$\hat{H}'_{\text{rel}} = -\frac{1}{2\mu} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i\omega_j \right)^2 \right]. \quad (57)$$

where $\Omega|j, m\rangle = \omega_j|j, m\rangle$ and

$$\omega_j = \frac{1}{4\pi\kappa} (j(j+1) - j_1(j_1+1) - j_2(j_2+1)). \quad (58)$$

As is well-known in the case of Aharonov-Bohm scattering [1], the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}'_{\text{rel}}$ has eigenfunctions

$$\{n \in \mathbf{Z}; e^{in\theta} J_{n+\omega_j}(kr), e^{in\theta} J_{-n-\omega_j}(kr)\}$$

with an eigenvalue $E_k = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2\mu}$. Requiring the solution to be regular at the origin, we get a set of eigenfunctions

$$\{n \in \mathbf{Z}; e^{in\theta} J_{|n+\omega_j|}(kr)\}. \quad (59)$$

Subsequently, we have eigenfunctions in the holomorphic gauge

$$\{n \in \mathbf{Z}; \exp\left(-\frac{\omega_j}{2} \ln z\bar{z}\right) e^{in\theta} J_{|n+\omega_j|}(kr)\} \quad (60)$$

and eigenfunctions in the anyon gauge

$$\{n \in \mathbf{Z}; \exp(i\omega_j\theta) e^{in\theta} J_{|n+\omega_j|}(kr)\}. \quad (61)$$

Note that the eigenfunctions in the anyon gauge are multivalued.

VI. NON-ABELIAN AHARONOV-BOHM EFFECT

Having obtained the Hamiltonian for the relative motion Eq.(59), we can proceed to our discussion on the non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm scattering in parallel to the discussion on the Aharonov-Bohm scattering in ref. [1]. From the discussion on the two body system in the previous section, it is clear that the state of the system can be characterized by $|\mathbf{k}\rangle \otimes |j_1, j_2; m_1, m_2\rangle$ where $|\mathbf{k}\rangle$ and $|j_1, j_2; m_1, m_2\rangle$ describe the momentum and isospin state of the system respectively.

Now let us examine the structure of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}'_{\text{rel}}$, Eq.(57). The Hamiltonian commutes with the operators $(\hat{Q}_1 + \hat{Q}_2)^2$, $(\hat{Q}_1)^2$, and $(\hat{Q}_2)^2$ and it implies that the scattering amplitude $f(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})$ has the following structure

$$f(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})_{\{m'_1, m'_2; m_1, m_2\}} = \sum_{j=|j_1-j_2|}^{j_1+j_2} \sum_{m=-j}^j \quad (62)$$

$$< j_1, j_2; m'_1, m'_2 | j_1, j_2; j, m > f_j(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) < j_1, j_2; j, m | j_1, j_2; m_1, m_2 >$$

where $< j_1, j_2; j, m | j_1, j_2; m_1, m_2 >$ is the well-known Clebsch-Gordan coefficient. We may call $f_j(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})$ the scattering amplitude of isospin j channel. Here we assume that two NACS particles are distinguishable and will consider the collision between indistinguishable NACS particles after a little.

In order to evaluate $f_j(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})$, we only need to consider the channel of isospin j where the dynamics of the system is governed by $\hat{H}'_{\text{rel}}$, Eq.(57). The most general solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$\hat{H}'_{\text{rel}}\psi = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2\mu}\psi \quad (63)$$

is given as a superposition of the eigenfunctions Eq.(59)

$$\psi = \sum_n a_n e^{in\theta} J_{|n+\omega_j|}(kr) \quad (64)$$

and the scattering solution corresponds to the solution which has a spatial asymptotics of

$$e^{ikr \cos \theta - i\omega_j \theta} + f_j(k, \theta) \frac{e^{ikr}}{\sqrt{r}}. \quad (65)$$

Fig. 2 depicts scattering of two NACS particles in the center of mass frame. Due to the long range nature of the Aharonov-Bohm effect, the portion of the incident wave is not a plane wave as in the case of the Coulomb scattering [40].

Comparing the asymptotics of the solution Eq.(64)

$$\psi \longrightarrow \sum_n a_n e^{in\theta} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi kr}} \cos \left(kr - \frac{|n + \omega_j|\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \quad (66)$$

with the asymptotics of the expansion of the plane wave in angular momentum eigenstates

$$e^{ikr \cos \theta} = \sum_n i^n e^{in\theta} J_n(kr) \quad (67)$$

$$\longrightarrow \sum_n i^n e^{in\theta} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi kr}} \cos \left(kr - \frac{n\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right)$$

suggests that $a_n = \exp[\pi i(n - |n + \omega_j|/2)]$. Thus the scattering solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = & \sum_{n=-[\omega_j]}^{\infty} e^{i\pi(n-\omega_j)/2} e^{in\theta} J_{n+\omega_j}(kr) + \\ & \sum_{-\infty}^{-[\omega_j]-1} e^{-i\pi(n-\omega_j)/2} e^{in\theta} J_{-n-\omega_j}(kr). \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

We can obtain the spatial asymptotics of ψ either by use of a differential equation [1,41] or by use of the Schl\"afli contour representation of Bessel function [38]

$$\psi \longrightarrow e^{ikr \cos \theta - i\omega_j \theta} + \frac{e^{-i\omega_j \pi}}{\sqrt{2\pi ki}} e^{-i([\omega_j] + \frac{1}{2})\theta} \frac{\sin \omega_j \pi}{\sin \theta/2} \frac{e^{ikr}}{\sqrt{r}}. \quad (69)$$

Then the scattering amplitude of isospin j channel [42] is read as follows

$$f_j(k, \theta) = \frac{e^{-i\omega_j \pi}}{\sqrt{2\pi ki}} e^{-i([\omega_j] + \frac{1}{2})\theta} \frac{\sin \omega_j \pi}{\sin \theta/2}. \quad (70)$$

The scattering amplitude $f_j(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})$ follows from Eq.(62) and Eq.(70). This result may be expressed more succinctly if we define a matrix $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})$ in the isospin space

$$f(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})_{\{m'_1, m'_2; m_1, m_2\}} = \langle j_1, j_2; m'_1, m'_2 | \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) | j_1, j_2; m_1, m_2 \rangle. \quad (71)$$

(Since j_1 and j_2 are fixed, they will be omitted in the formulae hereafter.) We find that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) = \frac{e^{-i\Omega\pi}}{\sqrt{2\pi ki}} e^{-i([\Omega] + \frac{1}{2})\theta} \frac{\sin \Omega\pi}{\sin \theta/2} \quad (72)$$

where $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer such that $0 \leq \alpha - [\alpha] < 1$ and $[\Omega]$ should be understood as $[\Omega]|j, m \rangle = [\omega_j]|j, m \rangle$. In terms of the half-monodromy matrix Eq.(45) or monodromy $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}^2$, it may be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi ki}} \mathcal{R}^{-1} (\mathcal{R}^{-1} - \mathcal{R}) \frac{e^{-i[\Omega]\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi ki}} (\mathcal{M}^{-1} - 1) \frac{e^{-i[\Omega]\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

This result is similar to that obtained by Lo and Preskill [43], but does not completely agree with. Note in particular that the obtained scattering amplitude Eq.(72) is single valued in contrast to theirs. However, as we shall see, both scattering amplitudes yield the same ‘inclusive’ cross section.

The differential cross section for the scattering process $|m_1, m_2 \rangle \rightarrow |m'_1, m'_2 \rangle$ is then given by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta} (|m_1, m_2 \rangle \rightarrow |m'_1, m'_2 \rangle) = \left| \langle m'_1, m'_2 | \mathcal{F}(k, \theta) | m_1, m_2 \rangle \right|^2. \quad (74)$$

Writing down its explicit expression, we have

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta} (|m_1, m_2 \rangle \rightarrow |m'_1, m'_2 \rangle) = -\frac{1}{8\pi k} \sum_{j, m} \sum_{j', m'} \langle m'_1, m'_2 | j, m \rangle \quad (75)$$

$$\langle j, m | m_1, m_2 \rangle \langle m_1, m_2 | j', m' \rangle \langle j', m' | m'_1, m'_2 \rangle$$

$$\left(e^{-2i\omega_j\pi} - 1 \right) \left(e^{-2i\omega_{j'}\pi} - 1 \right) \frac{e^{-i([\omega_j] - [\omega_{j'}])\theta}}{\sin^2 \theta/2}.$$

If we do not measure the isospin orientations of particles after scattering, the cross section is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta} (|m_1, m_2 \rangle \rightarrow \text{all}) &= \langle m_1, m_2 | \mathcal{F}^\dagger(k, \theta) \mathcal{F}(k, \theta) | m_1, m_2 \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi k} \frac{\langle m_1, m_2 | \sin^2 \Omega \pi | m_1, m_2 \rangle}{\sin^2 \theta/2} \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi k} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta/2} (1 - \text{Re} \langle m_1, m_2 | \mathcal{M} | m_1, m_2 \rangle).
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

This is called inclusive cross section in ref. [43]. The formula for the inclusive cross Eq.(76) was obtained first by Verlinde [9] and was confirmed recently by Lo and Preskill [43].

If two NACS particles share the same physical properties and belong to the same isospin multiplet, *i.e.* $j_1 = j_2$ (but m_1 may differ from m_2), it is appropriate to regard them indistinguishable [43]. In such a case, two body system is described by the symmetrized wave function

$$\Psi(z, \bar{z}, Z, \bar{Z}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Psi_{\text{CM}}(Z, \bar{Z}, t) (\psi(z, \bar{z}, t) + \psi(-z, -\bar{z}, t)). \tag{77}$$

(We describe the NACS particles in the holomorphic gauge as bosons interacting with each other through the non-Abelian Chern-Simons gauge field. Alternatively we may describe the NACS particles in terms of the fermions, then we must choose the antisymmetrized wave function.) Going through the same analysis given in sections V and VI with the symmetrized wave function Eq.(77), we find that the scattering amplitude $f_j^I(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})$ for the scattering of indistinguishable NACS particles in the isospin j channel is given in terms of $f_j(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})$ Eq.(70) by

$$\begin{aligned}
f_j^I(k, \theta) &= f_j(k, \theta) + f_j(k, \pi - \theta) \\
&= \frac{e^{-i\omega_j\pi}}{\sqrt{2\pi k i}} e^{-i([\omega_j] + \frac{1}{2})\theta} \frac{\sin \omega_j \pi}{\sin \theta/2} \left(1 + e^{i([\omega_j] + 1/2)(2\theta - \pi)} \tan \theta/2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

Then we obtain the scattering amplitude matrix

$$\mathcal{F}^I(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) + \mathcal{F}(-\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) \quad (79)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k i}} (\mathcal{M}^{-1} - 1) \frac{e^{-i[\Omega]\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}} \left(1 + e^{i([\Omega]+1/2)(2\theta-\pi)} \tan \theta/2 \right) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) \left(1 + e^{i([\Omega]+1/2)(2\theta-\pi)} \tan \theta/2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

This yields the differential cross section for the indistinguishable NACS particles

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^I}{d\theta} (|m_1, m_2 \rangle \rightarrow \text{all}) &= \langle m_1, m_2 | \mathcal{F}^{I\dagger}(k, \theta) \mathcal{F}^I(k, \theta) | m_1, m_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle m_1, m_2 | \mathcal{F}^\dagger(k, \theta) \mathcal{F}(k, \theta) \left\{ \sec^2 \theta/2 - 2 \cos((2[\Omega] + 1)\theta) \tan \theta/2 \right\} | m_1, m_2 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

Description of NACS particles often involves field degrees of freedom, since they are usually described as isospin particles of which isospin charges are coupled to non-Abelian Chern-Simons gauge fields. However, it is not necessary to rely upon the non-Abelian Chern-Simons gauge fields to discuss the quantum mechanics of NACS particles. The non-Abelian Chern-Simons gauge fields are to be completely determined in principle in terms of the quantum mechanical degrees of freedom of the isospin particles through the Gauss's law constraints, i.e., they themselves do not have dynamical degrees of freedom. Their only role is to introduce a topological interaction between the isospin particles, endowing them with non-Abelian magnetic fluxes. Thus, if we solve the Gauss' law constraints explicitly, we are able to describe the dynamics of NACS particles solely by a quantum mechanical Hamiltonian.

In this paper we have shown that the Gauss' law constraints can be solved explicitly in the framework of coherent state quantization in an appropriately chosen gauge and discussed the dynamics of the NACS particles, based upon the obtained quantum mechanical Hamiltonian, especially the non-Abelian Aharonov-Bohm scattering: In the framework of

the coherent state quantization, we are able to choose the holomorphic gauge condition to fix the gauge degrees of freedom thanks to the enlarged gauge orbit space and obtain the quantum mechanical Hamiltonian which governs the dynamics of the NACS particles through the KZ equation entirely in the holomorphic sector. The obtained quantum mechanical Hamiltonian enabled us to discuss the scattering of NACS particles in parallel to the discussion of the Aharonov-Bohm scattering. Evaluating the differential scattering cross section, we confirmed, yet in a more concrete way, the results which were previously obtained by Verlinde [9] and by Lo and Preskill [43]. However, scattering amplitude which we obtained differs from theirs in details, in particular, it is single valued in contrast to that of Lo and Preskill. We conceive that the gauge condition chosen implicitly in ref. [43] be different from ours and it may make such a difference. A close comparison between the two approaches would be worthwhile.

Incorporating an additional $U(1)$ electromagnetic field into the NACS particle theory, we can construct the model which has been proposed to describe some fractional quantum Hall states called singlet quantum Hall effect states [16–18]. The non-Abelian quantum mechanics developed in this paper will be certainly useful to explore their physical properties. The proposed non-Abelian Chern-Simons quantum mechanics also gives us immediate helps in discussing [44,45] some subjects, in more generalized context, which have been previously discussed in the anyon theory such as construction of exact many-body wavefunctions [46] and evaluation of the second virial coefficient [47]. Although only the NACS particles with $SU(2)$ symmetry group is discussed in this paper, our discussion on the NACS particles is not limited to the case of $SU(2)$. Many of formal properties of NACS particles with $SU(2)$ are expected to be shared among those with other symmetry groups. For an example, the formal expression of the scattering matrix in terms of the monodromy Eq.(73) may hold in general. A construction of the quantum mechanical Hamiltonian for NACS particles with $SU(N)$, $N \geq 2$ symmetry group is presented in ref. [7] and analyses on the NACS particles with more general symmetry groups are currently in progress [48]. As we mentioned in the introduction, the NACS particles make their appearances in various circumstances. For instance, they may

appear as gravitationally interacting point-like sources in $(2+1)$ dimensions, as non-Abelian vortices in $(2+1)$ dimensions, or cosmic string with some discrete non-Abelian charges in $(3+1)$ dimensions. Since the coherent state quantization and the holomorphic gauge fixing apply also to the models describing those NACS particles of various kinds, it will be certainly interesting to develop the non-Abelian Chern-Simons quantum mechanics for them and to discuss the related subjects in the framework given in this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

TL was supported in part by the KOSEF and PO was supported by the KOSEF through C.T.P. at S.N.U. TL would like to thank professor R. Jackiw for valuable comments. We also thank professor C. Lee and professor Y. M. Cho for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

* E-mail address:taejin@cc.kangwon.ac.kr

** E-mail address:ploh@yurim.skku.ac.kr

- [1] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. 115 (1959) 485.
- [2] M. Peshkin and A. Tonomura, *The Aharonov-Bohm effect* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1989)
- [3] F. Wilczek, ed., *Fractional statistics and anyon superconductivity* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990); R. Iengo and K. Lechner, Phys. Rep. 213 (1992) 179.
- [4] R. E. Prange and S. M. Girvin, ed., *The Quantum Hall effect* (Springer, Berlin, 1990); M. Stone, ed., *Quantum Hall effect* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).
- [5] G. Burns, *High-temperature superconductivity* (Academic Press, 1992); J. W. Lynn, ed., *High temperature superconductivity* (Springer-Verlag, 1990).
- [6] T. Lee and P. Oh, SNU Preprint, SNUTP-93/4 (1993).
- [7] T. Lee and P. Oh, SNU Preprint, SNUTP-93/42 (1993).
- [8] F. Wilczek and Y.-S. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 13 (1990).
- [9] E. Verlinde, in *Modern Quantum Field Theory* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
- [10] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **140**, 372 (1982).
- [11] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 3845 (1975).
- [12] P. A. Horváthy, Phys. Rev. D **33** (1986) 407.
- [13] M. G. Alford and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1071 (1989).
- [14] G. 't Hooft, Commun. Math. Phys. **117**, 685 (1988); S. Deser and R. Jackiw, Commun. Math. Phys. **118**, 495 (1988); P. de Sousa Gerbert and R. Jackiw, Commun. Math. Phys. **124**, 229 (1989); S. Carlip, Nucl. Phys. B **324**, 106 (1989); P. de Sousa Gerbert,

- Nucl. Phys. B **346**, 440 (1990); K. M. Falbo-Kenkel and F. Mansouri, J. Math. Phys. **34**, 139 (1993).
- [15] J. Fröhlich, in *Non-perturbative quantum field theory* edited by G. 't Hooft *et al.* (New York: Plenum 1988).
- [16] X. -G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 2206; B. Blok and X. G. Wen, Nucl. Phys. B **374**, 615 (1992).
- [17] G. Moore and N. Read, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 362 (1991).
- [18] A. Balatsky and E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. B **43** (1991) 10623.
- [19] L. M. Krauss and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989) 1221; T. Banks, Nucl. Phys. B323 (1989) 90; M. G. Alford, J. March-Russell, and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B337 (1990) 695; J. Preskill and L. M. Krauss, Nucl. Phys. B **341**, 50 (1990).
- [20] A. Achúcarro and P. K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. 180B (1986) 89; E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **311**, 46 (1989).
- [21] A. P. Balachandran, S. Borchardt and A. Stern, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 3247 (1978).
- [22] A. P. Balachandran, M. Bourdeau and S. Jo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. Lett. A4 (1989) 1923A; Int. Jou. Mod. Phys. A **5**, 2423 (1990).
- [23] A. Alekseev, L. D. Faddeev and S. Shatashvili, J. Geom. Phys. **1** (1989) 3.
- [24] P. Oh, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **7**, 1923 (1992).
- [25] S. K. Wong, Nuovo Cimento **65A**, 689 (1970).
- [26] F. A. Berezin, Comm. Math. Phys. **40**, 153 (1975); J. R. Klauder, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2349 (1979); E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. **92**, 455 (1984).
- [27] L. Faddeev and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1692 (1988); R. Jackiw, MIT preprint, CTP#2215 (1993).

- [28] E. Guadagnini, M. Martellini, and M. Mintchev, Nucl. Phys. B **336**, 581 (1990).
- [29] A. N. Kapustin and P. I. Pronin, Phys. Lett. B 303 (1993) 45.
- [30] J. R. Klauder and B. S. Skagerstam, *Coherent States* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985); A. Perelomov, *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986); W. M. Zhang, D. H. Feng and R. Gilmore, Rev. Mod. Phys. **62**, 867 (1990).
- [31] L. S. Brown, *Quantum Field Theory* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992).
- [32] S. Elitzur, G. Moore, A. Schwimmer and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B **326**, 108 (1989).
- [33] M. Bos and V. P. Nair, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **5**, 959 (1990).
- [34] For a review, see M. Henneaux, Phys. Rep. **126**, 1(1985); M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of gauge systems* (Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 1992).
- [35] E. S. Fradkin and G. A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. 55 B (1975) 224.
- [36] L. D. Faddeev and A. A. Slavnov, *Gauge Fields: Introduction to Quantum Theory* (Benjamin/Cummings Pub., MA, 1980).
- [37] V. G. Knizhnik and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B **247**, 83 (1984).
- [38] R. Jackiw, Ann. Phys. 201 (1990) 83.
- [39] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **121**, 351 (1989).
- [40] K. Gottfried, *Quantum mechanics* (Benjamin/Cummings pub. 1966)
- [41] C. R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. D 41 (1990) 2015.
- [42] Note that the angle variable of refs. [1,41], being denoted by θ' , is related to the angle variable θ of ref. [38] and this paper by $\theta = \theta' + \pi$ and that an overall factor $e^{i\omega_j\pi}$ is suppressed in Eq.(69). If this overall factor is kept, Eq.(73) in the following is read as
$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi k i}} (\mathcal{R}^{-1} - \mathcal{R}) \frac{e^{-i[\Omega]\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}}.$$

- [43] H.-K. Lo and J. Preskill, California Institute of Technology preprint (1993) CALT-68-1867.
- [44] H.-K. Lo, California Institute of Technology preprint (1993) CALT-68-1875.
- [45] T. Lee, in preparation.
- [46] K. H. Cho and C. Rim, Ann. Phys. 213 (1992) 295; K. H. Cho and C. Rim and D. S. Soh, Phys. Lett. A 164 (1992) 65; G. V. Dunne, A. Lerda, S. Sciuto and C. A. Trugenberger, Nucl. Phys. B 370 (1992) 601.
- [47] D. P. Arovas, R. Schrieffer, F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. B251 (1985) 117.
- [48] T. Lee and P. Oh, in preparation.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Exchange of two NACS particles along an oriented path.

FIG. 2. Scattering of two NACS particles in the center of mass frame.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-th/9312161v1>